Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å²)

$B_{eq} =$	(4/3)	$\Sigma_i \Sigma$	$_{i}\beta_{ii}\mathbf{a}_{i}\mathbf{a}_{i}$	
------------	-------	-------------------	--	--

	x	у	z	Beq
Rul	0.15105(1)	0.25284 (1)	0.34868(1)	2.549 (2)
P1	0.24983 (4)	0.20202 (3)	0.19457 (4)	2.718 (7)
P2	0.29715 (4)	0.27250 (3)	0.56057 (4)	2.746 (7)
N1	0.2157 (2)	0.4004 (1)	0.3879 (2)	3.69 (3)
N2	0.1603 (2)	0.4697(1)	0.4077(2)	3.39 (3)
N3	0.1133 (3)	0.5421 (2)	0.4299 (4)	6.09 (7)
C1	0.2727(2)	0.0698 (1)	0.1254 (2)	3.21 (3)
C2	0.2369 (2)	0.0028 (2)	0.1703(2)	3 84 (4)
C3	0 2603 (3)	-0.0964(2)	0 1239 (3)	5.02 (6)
C4	0.3184(3)	-0.1282(2)	0.0326 (4)	5 39 (7)
C5	0.3527 (3)	-0.0619(2)	-0.0320(4)	5.44 (6)
C6	0.3295 (2)	-0.0019(2)	-0.0140(4)	J.++ (0)
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	0.5255(2)	0.0300(2)	0.0310(3)	4.00 (3)
C8	0.1311(2) 0.0867(2)	0.2250(2) 0.1465(2)	-0.0902(2)	3.21 (3)
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	0.0007(2)	0.1403 (2)	-0.0802(2)	4.11 (4) 5 21 (6)
	0.0034(3)	0.1009 (3)	-0.1911 (3)	5.21(0)
C10	0.0554 (3)	0.2029(3) 0.3416(2)	-0.1820(3)	5.36 (0)
C12	0.0334(3)	0.3410(2)	-0.0570(3)	3.14(3)
C12	0.1337(2) 0.3007(2)	0.3218(2) 0.2567(1)	0.0337(3)	4.20 (4)
C14	0.3337(2) 0.4185(2)	0.2307(1)	0.2230(2)	3.13 (3)
C14	0.4165(2)	0.3106(2) 0.3467(2)	0.1331(3) 0.1702(4)	4.00 (5)
C15	0.5551(5)	0.3407 (2)	0.1752(4)	J.94 (0)
C10 C17	0.0324(2) 0.6136(2)	0.3279(2)	0.2732(3)	4.//(0)
C19	0.0130(2)	0.2713(3)	0.3437(3)	4.73 (0)
C10	0.4973 (2)	0.2334 (2)	0.5174(2)	4.17 (4)
C19	0.2979(2) 0.2421(3)	0.1370(1) 0.1430(2)	0.5924(2)	3.28 (3) 5.02 (5)
C20	0.2421(3) 0.2319(5)	0.1430(2)	0.0742 (3)	5.02 (5)
(m)	0.2318 (3)	0.0404 (3)	0.0783 (4)	7.12(8)
C22	0.2608 (3)	-0.0269(2)	0.0070 (4)	7.04 (9)
C23	0.3308 (4)	-0.0134(2)	0.5255 (4)	3.94 (7)
C24	0.3433(2)	0.0708(2)	0.5159(2)	4.05 (4)
C23	0.2/10(2) 0.2525(2)	0.3082 (1)	0.7069(2)	3.13 (3)
C20	0.3323(2)	0.3890(2)	0.8410(2)	4.04 (4)
C2/	0.3389 (3)	0.4008 (2)	0.9500 (2)	4.39 (5)
C20	0.2440(3)	0.5241 (2)	0.9272(2)	4.62 (5)
C29	0.1041 (3)	0.5036 (2)	0.7945 (3)	4.53 (5)
C30	0.1/80 (2)	0.4266 (2)	0.6859 (2)	3.62 (4)
C31	0.4572 (2)	0.3126(1)	0.6136 (2)	3.07 (3)
C32	0.4846 (2)	0.4003 (2)	0.6009 (2)	3.95 (4)
C33	0.6031 (2)	0.4393 (2)	0.6457 (3)	4.45 (5)
C34	0.6959 (2)	0.3891 (2)	0.7010 (3)	4.48 (5)
C35	0.6692 (2)	0.3031 (2)	0.7142 (3)	4.31 (5)
C36	0.5507 (2)	0.2642 (2)	0.6724 (2)	3.73 (4)
037	-0.0327 (2)	0.2856 (2)	0.3437 (3)	4.32 (5)
U38	0.0072 (2)	0.2221 (2)	0.4164 (2)	4.51 (5)
39	0.0307 (2)	0.1306 (2)	0.3255 (2)	4.15 (4)
C40	0.0016 (2)	0.1396 (2)	0.1960 (2)	3.86 (4)
C41	-0.0351 (2)	0.2354 (2)	0.2084 (3)	4.29 (5)

Table 2. Selected geometric paramete	ers	(A.	°.)
--------------------------------------	-----	-----	----	---

	-	-	
Ru1—P1	2.3293 (5)	P1C1	1.843 (2)
Ru1—P2	2.3304 (5)	P1C7	1.842 (3)
Ru1—N1	2.135 (3)	P1-C13	1.848 (2)
Ru1—C37	2.222 (2)	P2-C19	1.833 (2)
Ru1-C38	2.230 (4)	P2-C25	1.845 (2)
Ru1—C39	2.202 (3)	P2-C31	1.835 (2)
Ru1-C40	2.190 (2)	N1-N2	1.186 (3)
Ru1-C41	2.187 (2)	N2-N3	1.164 (3)
P1—Ru1—P2	105.22 (2)	Ru1-P1-C13	125.22 (6)
P1—Ru1—N1	85.39 (6)	C1-P1C7	103.31 (8)
P2-Ru1-N1	86.65 (5)	C1-P1-C13	98.0 (1)
N1-Ru1-C37	96.17 (9)	C7-P1-C13	103.2 (2)
N1-Ru1-C38	122.3 (1)	Ru1-P2-C19	110.65 (6)
N1-Ru1-C39	158.93 (9)	Ru1-P2-C25	113.69 (7)
N1-Ru1-C40	137.70 (9)	Ru1-P2-C31	124.66 (7)
N1-Ru1-C41	103.0 (1)	C19-P2-C25	104.7 (2)
Ru1-P1-C1	119.01 (8)	C19-P2-C31	103.62 (9)
Ru1-P1-C7	105.37 (7)		

All computations were carried out on a PDP-11/73 computer using *SDP* software (Enraf-Nonius, 1985).

©1994 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, H-atom coordinates, complete geometry and least-squares-planes data have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 71597 (34 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Technical Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England. [CIF reference: HA1048]

References

- Albers, M. O., Robinson, D. J. & Singleton, E. (1987). Coord. Chem. Rev. 79, 1-96.
- Birkenbach, L. & Kellerman, K. (1925). Chem. Ber. 58, 2377.
- Bruce, M. I., Cifuentes, M. P., Snow, M. R. & Tiekink, R. T. (1989). J. Organomet. Chem. 359, 379-399.
- Bruce, M. I., Hambley, T. W., Rodgers, J. R., Snow, M. R. & Wong, F. S. (1982). Aust. J. Chem. 35, 1323–1333.
- Dori, Z. & Ziolo, R. F. (1973). Chem. Rev. 73, 247-254.
- Dunitz, J. D. & Seiler, P. (1973). Acta Cryst. B29, 589-595.
- Enraf-Nonius (1988). Structure Determination Package. SDP/PDP User's Guide. Version 3.0. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Frank, K. G. & Selegue, J. P. (1991). Acta Cryst. C47, 35-37.
- Gaughan, A. P., Ziolo, R. F. & Dori, Z. (1971). Inorg. Chem. 10, 2776-2781.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351-359.
- Pauling, L. (1967). The Nature of the Chemical Bond. Ithaca: Cornell Univ. Press.
- Wisner, J. M., Bartczak, T. J. & Ibers, J. A. (1985) Inorg. Chim. Acta, 100, 115-123.

Acta Cryst. (1994). C50, 504-507

cis-Bis(9-carbazolyl)(1,2-dimethoxyethane)cis-bis(tetrahydrofuran)ytterbium(II)†

C. T. Abrahams, G. B. Deacon, B. M. Gatehouse* and G. N. Ward

Chemistry Department, Monash University, Clayton, Victoria 3168, Australia

(Received 3 June 1993; accepted 1 September 1993)

Abstract

The structure of $[Yb(C_4H_{10}O_2)(C_4H_8O)_2(C_{12}H_8N)_2]$ is monomeric with a distorted octahedral environment at Yb. The metal centre is surrounded by two 9carbazolyl ligands which are *cis* to each other, two *cis* tetrahydrofuran ligands and one chelating dimethoxyethane ligand. Yb—N distances are 2.45 (2) and 2.43 (3) Å with a *cis* N—Yb—N angle of 107.3 (7)°.

[†] Organoamido- and aryloxolanthanides (VIII).

Comment

The air-sensitive complex cis-bis(9-carbazolyl)-(1,2-dimethoxyethane)-cis-bis(tetrahydrofuran)ytterbium(II), Yb(cbz)₂(dme)(thf)₂ (I), was prepared by the following reaction:

$$Yb(cbz)_2(thf)_4 \xrightarrow{dme/thf} Yb(cbz)_2(dme)(thf)_2.$$

Bulky amides are used to generate low-coordinate (≤ 6) amidolanthanide complexes (Hart, 1987). An X-ray crystal structure study is often the only definitive way of determining how ligands are actually bound to the lanthanide metals and their coordination number. This is especially true for complexes with thf, since their crystals may also incorporate thf of solvation (Deacon, Feng, MacKinnon, Newnham, Nickel, Skelton & White, 1993).



The complex $Yb(cbz)_2(dme)(thf)_2$ is monomeric with distorted octahedral stereochemistry about the Yb atom and *cis* cbz ligands; the distortion from octahedral geometry is evident from the large N—Yb—N angle of $107.3 (7)^{\circ}$. This type of distortion was observed in a similar six-coordinate structure, Eu(cbz)₂(thf)₄ (Deacon, Forsyth, Gatehouse & White, 1990), containing *cis* cbz ligands, where the N—Eu—N angle was 109.8 (2)° and was considered to result from the steric repulsion between the bulky organoamido groups. The thf ligands in Yb(cbz)₂- $(dme)(thf)_2$ are also *cis* to each other. The dme has a small bite angle of $65.8(5)^{\circ}$ which compresses the edge of the octahedron. Comparisons between the M—N and M—O bond lengths in this, and in other similar organoamido La complexes can be made by subtracting the appropriate La ionic radius (Shannon, 1976) from the respective M—N/O bond length. The differences for the M-N distances in Yb(cbz)₂- $(dme)(thf)_2$ are 1.41 and 1.43 Å, and are comparable to those found in Eu(cbz)₂(thf)₄ of 1.38 and 1.40 Å. The range derived from the M—O bond lengths in $Yb(cbz)_2(dme)(thf)_2$, 1.39–1.46 Å, is again similar to the range found for $Eu(cbz)_2(thf)_4$, 1.38–1.43 Å. These values are somewhat higher than those found for other organolanthanoid ether complexes [1.34 (5) Å (Deacon, MacKinnon, Hambley & Taylor, 1983)], which is indicative of greater crowding in Yb(cbz)₂(dme)(thf)₂, but are less than values (1.49-1.59 Å) for highly crowded thf complexes of bulky phenolatolanthanoid(III) compounds (Deacon, Feng, Nickel, Ogden & White, 1992). Both cbz complexes show the largest *M*—O distance for an O atom *trans* to a carbazole group, presumably due to the greater *trans* influence of the bulky charged cbz ligands compared to the thf ligands.

The Yb atom is displaced from the planes of the 9-carbazolyl ligands. Thus, the angle between the line joining N1 and Yb and the ligand plane defined by N1, C12, C11, C10 and C9 is 10.6°, and the angle between the line joining N2 and Yb and the ligand plane defined by N2, C21, C22, C23 and C24 is 17.4°. This deviation from planarity is markedly larger than that in Eu(cbz)₂(thf)₄, in which the angles are only 3.3 and 9.9°, respectively. The displacement of the Yb atom from the 9-carbazolyl planes may be indicative of pyramidal coordination at the N atoms [type (A)] as opposed to sp^2 hybridization of the N atoms in an aromatic cbz ligand (B), as was discussed for the structure of Eu(cbz)₂(thf)₄ (Deacon, Forsyth, Gatehouse & White, 1990).



Pyramidal geometry would also be reflected in a loss of aromaticity in the pyrrolyl rings. However, the C—C bond lengths in $Yb(cbz)_2(dme)(thf)_2$ do not show alternation, the C—N distances are less than single-bond lengths and the Yb—N—C angles considerably exceed tetrahedral values (Table 2). Thus, the deviation of Yb from planarity with the cbz ring probably arises from the steric effects of the ligands. There is a widening of the angle between the



Fig. 1. Structure of Yb(cbz)₂(dme)(thf)₂ showing the stereochemistry and the numbering scheme used.

C(3)

C(4)

C(5)

0.0737 (7)

0.1898 (6)

0.1816 (6)

0.2468 (7)

0.1163 (9)

0.2603 (10)

0.2952 (11)

0.3475 (13)

0.3664 (10)

0.3777 (11)

0.3681 (12)

0.3185 (11)

0.2738 (11)

0.2773 (9)

0.3303 (9)

0.3331 (10)

0.2826 (10)

0.0674 (11)

0.0263 (13)

0.0030(12)

0.0137 (13)

0.0706 (11)

0.2220 (14)

0.3238 (11)

0.2120 (12)

0.1014 (13)

0.1573 (18)

0.1508 (17)

0.1619 (20)

0.1410 (17)

0.1128 (18)

0.0444 (20)

0.0155 (22)

0.0165 (21)

0.0461 (19)

0.1215 (16)

0.1034 (18)

0.0728 (17)

0.0741 (17)

0.3177 (21)

0.3698 (25)

0.3219 (22)

0.2286 (25)

-0.0084 (20)

0.3945 (21)

0.5307 (17)

0.2518 (16)

0.4674 (19)

0.6903 (25)

0.7380 (23)

0.8501 (29)

0.8190 (25)

0.6763 (26)

0.3116 (29)

0.1657 (32)

0.1118 (30)

0.2034 (27)

0.5914 (24)

0.5634 (27)

0.4068 (25)

0.3547 (25)

0.7756 (28)

0.8660 (32)

0.9534 (29)

0.9631 (34)

0.8967 (27)

C(18)-C(19)-C(20)

87.8 (7)

120 (3)

0.069 (10)

0.039 (7)

0.042 (7)

0.028 (5)

0.060(7)

0.036 (7)

0.052 (8)

0.051 (7)

0.043 (7)

0.055 (8)

0.064 (10)

0.052 (9)

0.054 (8)

0.025 (6)

0.042 (8)

0.039 (7)

0.032 (7)

0.055 (8)

0.084 (11)

0.065 (9)

0.087 (11)

0.053 (8)

O(2) carbazole planes to 72.7° compared to 59.2° for the 0(3) Eu(cbz)₂(thf)₄ complex. This would relieve the steric O(4) crowding about the smaller Yb²⁺ ion. Even though N(1) N(2) the less sterically demanding dme ligand is present, C(1) the metal-ion size factor appears more important. C(2)

Experimental

C(6) Yb(cbz)₂(thf)₄ (Deacon, Forsyth, Gatehouse & White, 1990) C(7) was dissolved in hot dimethoxyethane and this solution C(8) was allowed to slowly mix with warm tetrahydrofuran un-C(9) C(10) der an N₂ atmosphere. This resulted in the formation of C(11) orange-yellow single crystals (yield 22%). Found Yb 23.4%; C(12) [Yb(C₄H₁₀O₂)(C₄H₈O)₂(C₁₂H₈N)₂] requires Yb 23.3%. IR C(13) spectrum: 1617m, 1580s, 1545w, 1340w, 1325s, 1290m, 1270s, C(14) C(15) 1230s, 1190w (sh), 1150m, 1120m, 1100m, 1055s, 1040s, 1025s, C(16) 995m, 920w, 890m, 850m (sh), 830w (sh), 760s, 725s, 665w C(17)

cm^{-1} .			C(18)	-0.0887 (21)	0.1021 (11) 0.8487 (29)	0.056 (9)
Crysta	l data		C(19)	-0.0958 (23)	0.1389 ((11) 0.7471 (29) (11) 0.6902 (25)	0.069 (10)
Cr ysta			C(21)	0.2180 (21)	0.0785 ((11) 0.7702 (28)	0.048 (8)
[Yb(C₄	$H_{10}O_2)(C_4H_8O)_2$ -	$D_x = 1.50 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	C(22)	0.1732 (20)	0.0537 (10) 0.8646 (28)	0.044 (7)
(C ₁₂)	H ₈ N) ₂]	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation	C(23)	0.0772 (20)	0.0746 (11) 0.8424 (27)	0.049 (8)
$M_r = 7$	39.79	$\lambda = 0.7107 \text{ Å}$	C(24)	0.0664 (20)	0.1144 (10) 0.7314 (26)	0.039 (7)
Monoc	linic	Cell parameters from 24	C(25)	-0.0599 (25)	0.0765 (14) 0.3450 (37)	0.100 (13)
$P2_1/a$		reflections	C(26)	-0.1677 (24)	0.0897 ((14) 0.3403 (35)	0.095 (12)
12	944 (4) Å	$\theta = 7 - 10^{\circ}$	C(27)	-0.1892 (26)	0.1333 ((13) $0.2292(37)(15)$ $0.2287(30)$	0.113 (14)
a = 15	.844 (4) A	$u = 2.90 \text{ mm}^{-1}$	C(28)	-0.0904 (21)	0.1332 ((13) $0.2287(30)(14)$ $0.3610(37)$	0.079 (9)
b = 25	./34 (10) A	$\mu = 2.50 \text{ mm}$	C(29)	0.3571 (26)	0.0149 ((14) 0.4037 (39)	0.110 (14)
c = 9.5	590 (2) A	I = 293 K	C(31)	0.2700 (27)	-0.0142 ((14) 0.4159 (39)	0.120 (14)
$\beta = 10$	07.58 (2)°	labular	C(32)	0.1864 (23)	0.0233	(12) 0.4019 (32)	0.073 (10)
V = 32	$(57 (2) Å^3)$	$0.38 \times 0.15 \times 0.06 \text{ mm}$	C(33)	0.3723 (20)	0.2044 ((11) 0.6848 (27)	0.057 (9)
Z = 4		Orange-yellow	C(34)	0.3370 (18)	0.2289 ((9) 0.4334 (24)	0.037 (7)
			C(35)	0.3119 (19)	0.2042 ((11) 0.2858 (27)	0.052 (8)
Data c	ollection		C(36)	0.1786 (21)	0.1617 ((14) 0.1107 (29)	0.078 (9)
Philips	PW1100 four-circle	1971 observed reflections					Å 0)
diffr	actometer	$[I \geq 3\sigma(I)]$		Table 2. Select	rea geome	etric parameters (.	A, ')
ω scan	s	$R_{\rm int} = 0.07$	Yb—O(1) 2	.41 (2)	C(9)—C(1)	1.41 (3)
Absorr	ntion correction.	$\theta_{\rm max} = 30^{\circ}$	Yb—O(2) 2	.48 (2)	C(9)—C(10)	1.40 (3)
anal	utical indexed crus-	$h = -17 \rightarrow 17$	Yb—O(3) 2	.44 (2)	C(10) - C(11)	1.43 (3)
	ytical, indexed erys-	$k = 0 \rightarrow 32$	YbO(4) 2	.46 (1)	C(11) - C(5)	1.45 (3)
	aces	$k = 0 \rightarrow 32$	YD-N(1) 2	.45 (2)	C(11) = C(12) C(13) = C(14)	1.40 (3)
$T_{\rm min}$	$= 0.63, T_{\text{max}} = 0.84$	$l = 0 \rightarrow 11$	10—N(N(1)—(2) 2 T(Q) 1	38 (2)	C(13) = C(21)	1.42(4)
9617 n	neasured reflections	3 standard reflections	N(1)-C	C(12) 1	.38 (3)	C(14) - C(15)	1.36 (4)
9141 i	ndependent reflections	frequency: 240 min	N(2)—0	C(21) 1	.36 (3)	C(15) - C(16)	1.35 (4)
	-		N(2)—0	C(24) 1	.43 (3)	C(16)C(22)	1.45 (4)
Refiner	nent		O(1)—O	2(25) 1	.43 (4)	C(17)—C(18)	1.34 (3)
Defense	mont on E	$w = 1/r^2(E)$	0(1)-0	(28) 1	.41 (3)	C(17)—C(23)	1.44 (3)
Reinlei		$w = 1/0$ (Γ_0)	O(2)—O	C(29) 1	.43 (3)	C(18) - C(19)	1.34 (4)
R = 0.0	0775	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$	0(2) = 0	L(32) = 1	.40 (3)	C(19) = C(20) C(20) = C(24)	1.37 (3)
wR = (0.0626	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 2.0 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm A}^{-3}$	0(3) = 0	$\Gamma(34) = 1$.47 (3)	C(20) = C(24)	1.38(3) 1 40(3)
S = 2.4	48	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = 1.5 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$	O(4) = 0	$\Gamma(35) = 1$.42 (2)	C(22) - C(23)	1.39 (3)
1971 r	eflections	Extinction correction: non	e 0(4)-0	C(36) 1	.39 (3)	C(23) - C(24)	1.45 (3)
207 pa	rameters	Atomic scattering factors	C(1)—C	C(2) 1	.37 (3)	C(25)-C(26)	1.52 (4)
Only F	Latom II's refined:	from International Tabl	C(2)-C	C(3) 1	.39 (4)	C(26)—C(27)	1.51 (4)
Only I	evenuel termeneture		C(3) = C(3)	C(4) 1	.39 (3)	C(27)—C(28)	1.48 (4)
one	overall temperature	for X-ray Crystallograp	ny C(4) = C	C(10) 1	.40 (3)	C(29)—C(30)	1.51 (4)
facto	or of $0.114(2) A^2$	(1974, Vol. IV)	C(5)—C	2(6) 1	.36 (3)	C(30) - C(31)	1.46 (4)
			C(6)—C	L(7) = 1	.38 (3)	C(31) - C(32)	1.48 (4)
Table	1. Fractional atomic	coordinates and equival	lent $C(7) = C(7)$	C(12) 1	.43 (3) .40 (3)	C(34)—C(33)	1.49 (3)
14010	isotronic displacen	λ_{ant} narameters ($\dot{\Lambda}^2$)	N(1)	(h—N(2) 1	07.3 (7)	C(13) - C(14) - C(15)	117 (3)
	вопори ивршсен	iem parameters (A)	N(1) - Y	(b-O(1))	96.6 (6)	C(14) - C(15) - C(16)	128 (3)
	$U_{ac} = (1/3)\Sigma$	∑:U::a*a*a: a:	N(1)	(b—O(2) 1	63.7 (6)	C(15) - C(16) - C(22)	115 (3)
		$-j \circ j = j = j = j = i + i = j$	N(1)-Y	/Ъ—О(3)	84.8 (5)	C(16)-C(22)-C(21)	120 (3)
	x y	z U _{eq}	N(1)—Y	ґb—О(4)	86.6 (5)	C(16) - C(22) - C(23)	132 (3)
Yb	0.15113 (9) 0.155	82 (5) 0.45761 (13) 0.0319	9(4) N(2) - 3	/ቤ0(1)	96.8 (7)	C(17) - C(18) - C(19)	123 (3)

O(1)

-0.0140 (13)

0.1239 (7)

0.3219 (19)

0.056 (9)

N(2)-Yb-O(2)

N(2) VL 0(2)	07.2 (7)	C(10) C(20) C(24)	120 (2)
N(2) = 10 = O(3)	97.3(7)	C(19) - C(20) - C(24)	120(3)
N(2) - Yb - O(4)	157.5 (7)	C(20) - C(24) - C(23)	122 (2)
O(1)—Yb—O(2)	87.6 (6)	C(21) - C(13) - C(14)	119 (3)
O(1) - Yb - O(3)	164.8 (5)	C(21) - C(22) - C(23)	108 (2)
O(1) - Yb - O(4)	99.0 (6)	C(22) - C(21) - C(13)	121 (3)
O(2)—Yb—O(3)	87.1 (6)	C(22) - C(23) - C(17)	141 (3)
O(2) - Yb - O(4)	77.1 (6)	C(22)—C(23)—C(24)	106 (2)
O(3)-Yb-O(4)	65.8 (5)	C(23) - C(17) - C(18)	122 (3)
Yb-N(1)-C(9)	126(1)	C(23)-C(24)-N(2)	109 (3)
Yb-N(1)-C(12)	128 (2)	C(24)-N(2)-C(21)	105 (2)
Yb—N(2)—C(21)	131 (2)	C(24)-C(23)-C(17)	114 (3)
Yb-N(2)-C(24)	119 (2)	C(25) - O(1) - C(28)	108 (2)
N(1) - C(9) - C(1)	127 (2)	C(25)-C(26)-C(27)	100 (3)
N(1)-C(9)-C(10)	114 (2)	C(26)—C(27)—C(28)	107 (3)
N(1) - C(12) - C(8)	129 (3)	C(27)—C(28)—O(1)	107 (3)
N(2)-C(21)-C(13)	127 (3)	C(4)C(10)C(11)	135 (2)
N(2)-C(21)-C(22)	112 (3)	C(5) - C(6) - C(7)	122 (3)
N(2)C(24)C(20)	130 (3)	C(6)—C(7)—C(8)	123 (3)
O(1)-C(25)-C(26)	107 (3)	C(7) - C(8) - C(12)	117 (3)
O(2)-C(29)-C(30)	108 (3)	C(8) - C(12) - C(11)	119 (2)
C(1) - C(2) - C(3)	120 (3)	C(9) - C(1) - C(2)	120 (3)
C(2) - C(3) - C(4)	122 (3)	C(9) - C(10) - C(11)	103 (2)
C(3) - C(4) - C(10)	117 (3)	C(10) - C(9) - C(1)	118 (2)
C(4) - C(10) - C(9)	122 (2)	C(10) - C(11) - C(5)	130 (2)
C(10) - C(11) - C(12)	107 (2)	C(29)O(2)C(32)	107 (2)
C(11)-C(5)-C(6)	117 (3)	C(29)-C(30)-C(31)	104 (3)
C(11) - C(12) - N(1)	112 (2)	C(30)-C(31)-C(32)	108 (3)
C(12) - N(1) - C(9)	103 (2)	C(31) - C(32) - O(2)	109 (3)
C(12)-C(11)-C(5)	123 (2)		

A representative crystal was mounted in a Lindemann glass capillary tube under Ar in a dry box. The crystal was fixed to the side of the tube with a small amount of dry liquid paraffin. Intensity data were measured on an automatic four-circle diffractometer equipped with a graphite monochromator, as described previously (Canty, Chaichit & Gatehouse, 1980). Three standard reflections decreased in intensity by 52 (2)%; a correction for decomposition was applied. It is believed that the high R value arises as a result of the decomposition. Data were processed using a program written specifically for the PW1100 diffractometer (Hornstra & Stubbe, 1972). Scattering factors for neutral atoms and corrections for anomalous dispersion were taken from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974, Vol. IV). All calculations were performed on the Monash University VAX11/780 computer system. Programs used: SHELX76 (Sheldrick, 1976) and ORFFE (Busing, Martin & Levy, 1964).

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, H-atom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 71623 (16 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Technical Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England. [CIF reference: AL1064]

References

- Busing, W. R., Martin, K. O. & Levy, H. A. (1964). ORFFE. Report ORNL-TM-306. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Canty, A. J., Chaichit, N. & Gatehouse, B. M. (1980). Acta Cryst. B36, 786-789.
- Deacon, G. B., Feng, T., MacKinnon, P. I., Newnham, R. H., Nickel, S., Skelton, B. W. & White, A. H. (1993). Aust. J. Chem. 46, 387-399.
- Deacon, G. B., Feng, T., Nickel, S., Ogden, M. I. & White, A. H. (1992). Aust. J. Chem. 45, 671–683.
- Deacon, G. B., Forsyth, C. M., Gatehouse, B. M. & White, P. A. (1990). Aust. J. Chem. 43, 1-12.

© 1994 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

- Deacon, G. B., MacKinnon, P. I., Hambley, T. W. & Taylor, J. C. (1983). J. Organomet. Chem. 259, 91–97.
- Hart, F. A. (1987). Scandium, Yttrium and the Lanthanides. In Comprehensive Coordination Chemistry, edited by G. Wilkinson, R. D. Gillard & J. A. McLeverty. Vol. 3. Oxford: Pergamon Press.
- Hornstra, J. & Stubbe, B. (1972). PW 1100 Data Processing Program. Philips Research Laboratories, Eindhoven, The Netherlands.
- Shannon, A. C. (1976). Acta Cryst. A32, 751-767.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1976). SHELX76. Program for Crystal Structure Determination. Univ. of Cambridge, England.

Acta Cryst. (1994). C50, 507-510

A New Orthorhombic Crystal Form of Dichloro[(-)-(R,R)-2,2-dimethyl-4,5bis(diphenylphosphinomethyl)-1,3-dioxolane-P,P']platinum, [{(R,R)-diop}PtCl₂]

CHRISTIAN GANTER AND A. GUY ORPEN*

School of Chemistry, University of Bristol, Bristol BS8 1TS, England

PAOLA BERGAMINI AND EMILIANA COSTA

Dipartimento di Chimica dell'Università di Ferrara e Centro di Fotochimica CNR, Via L. Borsari 46, 44100 Ferrara, Italy

(Received 1 February 1993; accepted 22 September 1993)

Abstract

In the orthorhombic form of the title compound, $[PtCl_2(C_{31}H_{32}O_2P_2)]$, the geometry at Pt is approximately square planar and the molecular conformation observed is qualitatively similar to that of one of the two independent molecules in a triclinic polymorph determined previously. The crystal and molecular structures are pseudo-isomorphous with those of [{(S,S)-diop}PtMeCl].

Comment

Crystals of dichloro[(-)-(R,R)-2,2-dimethyl-4,5bis(diphenylphosphinomethyl)-1,3-dioxolane-P,P']-



Acta Crystallographica Section C ISSN 0108-2701 ©1994